

# Summary of State of the Sector Survey 2025

## UK and Ireland Creative Health Sector

We're sharing here a summary of results from a State of the Sector survey completed in autumn 2025 by 180 people working in the creative health sector across the UK and Ireland, conducted as a partnership between the [Culture, Health & Wellbeing Alliance](#), the [Wales Arts, Health & Wellbeing Network](#), [Arts, Culture, & Wellbeing Scotland](#), [Arts Care \(Northern Ireland\)](#), the [Northern Ireland Creative Health Network](#), [Réalta](#), and [London Arts and Health](#). Please note this is an AI summary – results may not be completely accurate and should be treated with caution.

A total of 180 responses in 2025 break down across the nations as follows:

- Online or across Ireland and the UK: 21
- Wales: 14
- Northern Ireland: 25
- Scotland: 28
- Ireland: 29
- England: 90; within that, Greater London: 24



# Creative Health: State of the Sector Survey 2025

## AI Summary of workbook findings (using MS Co-pilot)

### 1. Overall picture

The survey captures a **large, diverse, but fragile creative health sector** across the UK and Ireland. There is **strong belief in the value and impact of creative health**, growing recognition from policymakers and funders, and expanding demand from communities—but this is **not matched by sustainable funding, secure work, or systemic support**.

The dominant story is one of **passion, impact, and resilience operating under extreme financial and structural pressure**.

---

### 2. Funding landscape (major finding)

Funding challenges are the **single most consistent theme** across the dataset.

#### What respondents report

- Funding is:
  - **Short-term, project-based, and competitive**
  - **Rarely covers core costs**, salaries, or infrastructure
  - Increasingly **bureaucratic and reporting-heavy**
- **Rejection rates are higher than ever**, with many funds closing early or disappearing entirely
- NHS commissioning for creative health is **minimal or inconsistent**
- Arts Council and local authority funding is unstable and vulnerable to political change
- **Small and grassroots organisations are hardest hit**, while larger institutions absorb most long-term funding

#### Consequences

- Practitioners:
  - Work unpaid hours or for reduced rates
  - Juggle multiple jobs or leave the sector entirely
  - Experience stress, burnout, and declining mental health
- Organisations:
  - Operate “on a cliff edge”
  - Close despite long track records and strong evidence
  - Are forced into short-term delivery rather than long-term impact

#### Key tension:

Creative health is increasingly talked about as “essential” or “preventative” — but is still funded as an “extra”.

### 3. Political and systems context

Respondents describe **structural uncertainty** rather than a single policy barrier:

- NHS reform, ICB instability, and devolution create:
    - Loss of relationships
    - Paused or cancelled commissions
    - Inconsistent regional provision
  - Local authorities face:
    - Budget cuts
    - Reduced cultural power
    - Increased intervention or censorship in some areas (notably around LGBTQ+ work)
  - Many practitioners feel:
    - Creative health is welcomed rhetorically
    - But **not embedded in health, care, or social policy**
- 

### 4. Who is working in creative health

The data shows a **highly skilled, multi-role workforce**:

#### Roles & sectors

- Freelance practitioners, managers, researchers, therapists, educators, volunteers
- Work across:
  - Arts & culture
  - NHS and health settings
  - Voluntary, community, and social enterprise (VCFSE)
  - Local government and higher education

#### Employment patterns

- Heavy reliance on:
    - Freelancing
    - Short-term contracts
    - Self-employment
  - Many combine:
    - PAYE + freelance
    - Practice + fundraising + evaluation + admin
  - Pay is inconsistent:
    - Typical rates cluster around £50–80/hour or £200–300/day
    - Many work below their standard rates due to funding constraints
-

## 5. Training and skills needs

Respondents report **high demand for training**, especially when it is:

- Affordable
- Relevant to real-world practice
- Recognised by health and funding systems

### Most requested areas

- Funding and fundraising
- Evaluation and impact measurement
- Leadership and career progression
- Partnerships with health and care systems
- Understanding health inequalities
- Creative facilitation in clinical or care settings
- Safeguarding, boundaries, and risk
- EDI, anti-racist, and inclusive methodologies
- Business skills (budgeting, tax, rates)
- Practitioner wellbeing and burnout prevention

### Important insight:

Many people already have extensive training—but lack **time, money, or paid capacity** to maintain and update it.

---

## 6. Health inequalities

A **majority of respondents** say their work directly addresses health inequalities.

### Common contexts

- Deprived or rural areas
- People excluded from NHS thresholds
- Communities facing:
  - Poverty
  - Discrimination
  - Isolation
  - Long waiting lists
- Early intervention where statutory services cannot respond

Creative health is repeatedly described as:

- A **bridge** for people who fall through gaps
  - A **preventative and relational approach** rather than crisis response
-

## 7. Protected characteristics & inclusion

Work across the sector is **strongly inclusive by practice**, even where data collection is inconsistent.

Frequently supported groups include:

- Older adults
- Disabled and neurodivergent people
- LGBTQIA+ communities
- People from global majority and migrant backgrounds
- Women (including pregnancy, maternity, menopause)
- Children, young people, and carers

Many organisations explicitly work with:

- Anti-racist
- Neuro-affirming
- LGBTQ+-affirming frameworks

However, respondents also note:

- Rising hostility in the political climate
  - Increased risk for marginalised communities
  - Funders' limited understanding of inclusive practice
- 

## 8. Evaluation and evidence

Evaluation is **widely practiced**, but unevenly supported.

### Common approaches

- Mixed methods (qualitative + quantitative)
- Participant feedback and case studies
- Artist reflection logs
- Wellbeing scales (e.g. WEMWBS)
- Academic partnerships (where possible)

### Challenges

- Evaluation often:
    - Is unpaid
    - Competes with delivery time
    - Is required by funders but under-resourced
  - Smaller organisations lack accessible tools
  - Research funding often evaluates *outcomes* without funding *delivery*
-

## 9. Motivation: why people do this work

Despite challenges, motivation is **remarkably consistent**:

- Belief that creativity is fundamental to human health
- Lived experience of arts improving wellbeing
- Commitment to social justice and equity
- Desire to reduce loneliness and isolation
- Seeing direct impact on participants' lives
- Belief that creative health can transform health systems

This sense of purpose is strong—but many say it is being **tested by financial insecurity**.

---

## 10. Optimism about the future

Outlook is **mixed**:

- Some are:
  - Optimistic about visibility and evidence
  - Encouraged by policy language and pilots
- Many are:
  - Anxious about sustainability
  - Concerned about burnout and sector collapse
  - Seeing peers and organisations shut down

**Overall sentiment:**

Hopeful about the *idea* of creative health; uncertain about the *conditions* needed for it to survive.

---

## 11. Key messages emerging from the workbook

Across all sheets, respondents are effectively saying:

1. **Creative health works** – for individuals, communities, and prevention
  2. **The workforce is skilled, committed, and overstretched**
  3. **Funding models are actively undermining impact**
  4. **Core costs, long-term investment, and fair pay are essential**
  5. **Creative health must move from pilot to system**
-